RECEIVED AND FILED IN OPEN COURT

JAN 0 3 2025

KITSAP COUNTY CLERK DAVID T. LEWIS III

The Honorable

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KITSAP

LESLIE KAHN, TAUSHA STAMP and ALAINA HICKS, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

THE DOCTORS CLINIC, A PROFESSIONAL COPORATION, a Washington Professional Service Corporation,

Defendant.

No. 23-2-02374-18

#PROPOSED] ORDER CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS, GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT. AUTHORIZING NOTICE, AND SETTING FINAL FAIRNESS HEARING

(CLERK'S ACTION REQUIRED)

This matter came before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Conditional Settlement Class Certification and Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Plaintiffs' Motion"). In conjunction with Plaintiffs' Motion, Plaintiffs have filed a copy of the Parties' signed Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement Agreement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of James B. Pizl in support of Plaintiffs' Motion.

WHEREAS, the Court has considered Plaintiffs' Motion, the Parties' signed Settlement Agreement, and all of the other pleadings, papers, and filings herein;

WHEREAS, as used herein, all terms defined in the Parties' Settlement Agreement shall have the same meaning here; and

ORDER CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS, GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, AUTHORIZING NOTICE, AND SETTING FINAL FAIRNESS HEARING - 1

23-2-02374-18 **ENTENTOR** 315 THIRTY-N Order 18066984 PUYALLUP.

4

1

2

3

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

WHEREAS, good cause appearing that the Parties' Settlement Agreement is within the range of reasonableness and is presumptively valid,

1. NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

Pursuant to Washington Superior Court Civil Rule 23(a) and (b)(3), and in conjunction with the Settlement Agreement, the Court hereby certifies this case as a class action, solely for purposes of implementing the Parties' Settlement Agreement, on behalf of the following Proposed Settlement Class:

All individuals who are or were employed by The Doctors Clinic in Washington state in positions paid on an hourly basis at any time from December 22, 2020, through March 7, 2024.

The Settlement Class shall exclude any persons who opt out of the Settlement Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Paragraph 14 of this Order.

- 2. The Court finds that the prerequisites of CR 23(a) and (b)(3) have been satisfied for the Settlement Class. Specifically, the Court finds as follows:
- a. The Settlement Class, which consists of approximately 669 individuals, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. In reaching this conclusion, the Court has considered not just the number of Class members, but the interests of judicial efficiency, the relatively small value of many Settlement Class Member claims, and other factors relevant to the interest and ability of employees to individually join or bring claims against a current or former employer.
- b. There are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class, including, but not limited to: whether Defendant violated WAC 296-126-092 by failing to provide adequate rest breaks and meal periods to Plaintiff and members of the Settlement Class; and whether Defendant was required to and failed to compensate Plaintiff and members of the Settlement Class with additional wages when rest breaks and meal periods were not provided in compliance with Washington law.

- c. The claims of the Named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, and the Named Plaintiffs and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class.
- d. Certification of a Settlement Class under CR 23(b)(3) is appropriate because questions of law and fact common to all Settlement Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient resolution of this controversy. Such common questions of law and fact include, but are not limited to those identified in subparagraph (b) above.
- 3. Pursuant to CR 23, Tausha Stamp and Alaina Hicks are hereby appointed and designated, for all purposes, as the Class Representatives of the Settlement Class, and James B. Pizl, Entente Law PLLC, and James McCanna are hereby appointed and designated as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 4. Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of the Settlement Class with respect to all acts or consents required by or which may be required pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. The Court approves the proposed form and content of the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Class Notice") that is attached as Exhibit A of Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of James B. Pizl.
- 6. The Court hereby appoints CPT Group Class Action Administrators as Settlement Administrator responsible for establishing a Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") pursuant to IRC § 468B(g), mailing and/or emailing Class Notices and settlement awards to Settlement Class Members, processing and filing all appropriate tax forms and documents including but not limited to W2s, 1099s, 1120-SF, etc.
- 7. Consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Administrator is hereby directed to email (if possible) and mail, or cause to be mailed, by first-class mail, a copy of the Class Notice to each Settlement Class Member no later than fifty (50) calendar days following the date of this Order.

- 8. Pursuant to CR 23, the Court hereby finds and concludes that the form and manner of giving notice by mailing a Class Notice to each individual Settlement Class Member, as required by the Settlement Agreement and by this Order, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including but not limited to notice that each Settlement Class Member will be bound by the release of claims if they do not exclude themselves from the class. Said notice procedures fully satisfy the requirements of CR 23(c)(2) and CR 23(e) and the requirements of due process.
- 9. The Court conditionally approves Class Counsel's request for an attorneys' fees award of \$312,750 or 30% of the gross Settlement Fund, plus actual and projected litigation costs of \$7,500. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval upon a showing of appropriate cause.
- 10. The Court preliminarily approves up to \$15,000 to be paid from the settlement fund to compensate CPT Group Class Action Administrators for its services provided in the administration of the settlement. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval upon a showing of appropriate cause.
- 11. The Court preliminarily approves an award of an incentive payment of \$7,500 to Tausha Stamp, Alaina Hicks, and the estate of Leslie Kahn in recognition of their role in this case and service to the Settlement Class and in recognition of their full, general release of claims related to their employment. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval.
- 12. The Court preliminary approves an additional award of \$42,500 to the estate of Leslie Kahn in exchange for her full release of all claims including but not limited to claims raised in the First Amended Class Action Complaint for damages and any known or unknown claims related to her application for employment, employment, or cessation of employment with Defendant.

- 13. On May 9, 2025, a Final Settlement Approval Hearing shall be held at Kitsap County Superior Court in Port Orchard, Washington, to determine whether the Court should approve the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and whether the Court should enter the Parties' proposed Final Order and Judgment.
- Pursuant to Kitsap County Local Court Rules, Plaintiffs shall file memoranda or 14. other papers they may wish to submit in support of the proposed Settlement Agreement no later than seven (7) court days before the Final Settlement Approval Hearing. The memoranda shall confirm that the mailing of the Class Notice was completed in accordance with the requirements of this Order and provide information concerning the individuals that have opted out of the settlement and any objections received.
- 15. Any person who is eligible to exclude him or herself from the Settlement Class under the terms of the Settlement Agreement must do so by following the instructions for requesting exclusion as set forth in the Class Notice. All requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class must be postmarked, hand-delivered, or emailed to the Settlement Administrator no later than 45 days after the date of mailing of the Class Notice, in accordance with the instructions in the Class Notice and the terms and requirements of the Settlement Agreement, or they shall be deemed void and ineffective.
- 16. Any Settlement Class Member may enter an appearance through counsel of such Settlement Class Member's own choosing and at such Settlement Class Member's own expense. Any Settlement Class Member who does not personally appear or otherwise enter an appearance at the Final Settlement Approval Hearing shall be deemed to be represented by Class Counsel in this litigation as provided above.

24

25

- Any Settlement Class Member who has not validly requested exclusion may submit written objections to the Settlement Agreement by mailing to the Settlement Administrator, at the addresses provided in the Settlement Notice, a written statement containing the Settlement Class Member's name, current address, and the substance of his or her objection (including any briefs and supporting papers) no later than 45 days after the date of mailing of the Class Notice. Any Settlement Class Member who presents written objections in the manner prescribed above may also appear personally or through counsel at the Final Settlement Approval Hearing to express the Settlement Class Member's views regarding the Settlement Agreement. Only Settlement Class Members who object to the Settlement Agreement in writing, in person, or by appearance through counsel, in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Order, shall be permitted to appeal or otherwise seek review of this Court's decision approving or rejecting the Settlement Agreement. Failure to follow the procedures for objecting set forth herein shall constitute a waiver of a Settlement Class Member's right to object to the Settlement Agreement.
- 18. Pending this Court's ruling on final approval of the Settlement Agreement, the Named Plaintiffs and all Settlement Class Members are enjoined from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceedings regarding claims released in the Settlement Agreement, unless and until the Court's final settlement approval hearing.
- 19. The Final Settlement Approval Hearing, and all dates provided for herein, may, without further notice to the Settlement Class, be continued or adjourned by order of this Court.
- 20. Consistent with the Settlement Agreement, neither this Order, nor the fact or substance of the Settlement Agreement, shall be considered a concession or admission, nor shall they be used as evidence in any proceeding for the purpose of establishing Defendant's liability or wrongdoing.

1	21. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms
2	of the Settlement Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or the Settlement
3	Agreement is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be
4	vacated and rendered null and void, the Settlement Class shall be decertified, and all claims and
5	defenses previously asserted by the Parties shall be reinstated and the Court shall enter further
6	appropriate orders governing the proceedings and establishing a revised case schedule in this
7	matter.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED this 3 day of Juny, 2025
9	IT IS SO ORDERED this day of turny, 2025
10	The Hororable
11	Judge, Kitsap County Superior CoMILLIAM C. HOUSER
12	
13	Presented by: Copy Received; Approved as to Form;
14	Notice of Presentation Waived:
15	ENTENTE LAW PLLC DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
16	/s/ James B. Pizl /s/ Devin M. Smith {with permission}
17	James B. Pizl, WSBA#28969 Devin M. Smith, WSBA #42219 Scott Prange, WSBA #53980
18	MCCANNA LAW PLLC Emma C. Englund, WSBA #56178
19	James McCanna, WSBA #22565 Attorneys for Defendant Attorneys for Plaintiffs
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	